

ZORN'S LEMMA

DANIEL R. GRAYSON

1. INTRODUCTION

Zermelo gave a beautiful proof in [5] that every set can be well ordered, and Kneser adapted it to give a direct proof of Zorn's lemma in [2]. Papers such as [3], [4], and most recently, [1], describe this proof, but it still doesn't seem to be generally known by mathematicians.

2. THE PROOF

A *partially ordered set* is a set X equipped with a relation $x \leq y$ satisfying $x \leq x$ and $x \leq y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$ and $x \leq y \leq x \Leftrightarrow x = y$. (The last property is easily obtained by considering the quotient set for the equivalence relation $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x \leq y \leq x$.) A *totally ordered set* is a partially ordered set where $x \leq y \vee y \leq x$. A *well ordered set* is a totally ordered set where every nonempty subset has a minimal element. A *closed* subset Y of a partially ordered set X is a subset satisfying $x \leq y \in Y \Rightarrow x \in Y$; we write $Y \leq X$, and if $Y \neq X$, too, then we write $Y < X$. If X is well ordered and $Y < X$, and we take x to be the smallest element of $X - Y$, then $Y = \{y \in X \mid y < x\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose X is a partially ordered set, and F is a collection of subsets which are well ordered by the ordering of X . Suppose also that for any $C, D \in F$, either $C \leq D$ or $D \leq C$. Let $E = \bigcup_{C \in F} C$. Then E is well ordered, and for each $C \in F$ we have $C \leq E$.*

Theorem 2.2 (Zorn's lemma). *A partially ordered set X with upper bounds for its well ordered subsets has a maximal element.*

Proof. Suppose not. For each well ordered subset $C \subseteq X$ pick an upper bound $g(C) \notin C$. A well ordered subset $C \subseteq X$ such that $c = g(\{c' \in C \mid c' < c\})$ for every $c \in C$ will be called a *g-set*.

Intuitively, a *g-set*, as far as it goes, is determined by g . For example, if C starts out with $\{c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots\}$, then necessarily $c_0 = g(\{\})$, $c_1 = g(\{c_0\})$, $c_2 = g(\{c_0, c_1\})$, and so on. A pseudoproof of the theorem might go like this. We start with an empty collection of *g*-sets and add larger and larger *g*-sets to it. At each stage let W be the union of the *g*-sets encountered previously. We see that $W' = W \cup \{g(W)\}$ is a larger *g-set*, and we add it to our collection. Continue this procedure forever and let W be the union of the *g*-sets encountered along the way; it's again a *g-set*, and we can enlarge it once again, thereby encountering a *g-set* that isn't in our final collection and providing a contradiction. The problem with this pseudoproof is in interpreting the meaning of "forever", so now we turn to the real proof.

Date: November 17, 1999.

We claim that if C and D are g -sets, then either $C \leq D$ or $D \leq C$. To see this, let W be the union of the subsets $B \subseteq X$ satisfying $B \leq C$ and $B \leq D$. Since a union of closed subsets is closed, we see that $W \leq C$ and $W \leq D$, and W is the largest subset of X with this property. If $W = C$ or $W = D$ we are done, so assume $W < C$ and $W < D$, and pick elements $c \in C$ and $d \in D$ so that $W = \{c' \in C \mid c' < c\} = \{d' \in D \mid d' < d\}$. Since C and D are g -sets, we see that $c = g(W) = d$. Let $W' = W \cup \{g(W)\}$; it's a g -set larger than W with $W' \leq C$ and $W' \leq D$, contradicting the maximality of W .

Now let W be the union of all the g -sets. It's a g -set, too, and it's the largest g -set, but $W' = W \cup \{g(W)\}$ is a larger g -set, yielding a contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Akihiro Kanamori. The mathematical import of Zermelo's well-ordering theorem. *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 3(3):281–311, 1997.
- [2] Hellmuth Kneser. Eine direkte Ableitung des Zornschen Lemmas aus dem Auswahlaxiom. *Math. Z.*, 53:110–113, 1950.
- [3] T. Szele. On Zorn's lemma. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 1:254–256, erratum 257, 1950.
- [4] J. D. Weston. A short proof of Zorn's lemma. *Arch. Math.*, 8:279, 1957.
- [5] Ernst Zermelo. Beweis, daß jede menge wohlgeordnet werden kann. *Math. Ann.*, 59:514–516, 1904.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

E-mail address: `dan@math.uiuc.edu`

URL: `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~dan`